

Reference

Peoples under Threat 2011

Mark Lattimer

Introduction

The popular uprisings that swept the Arab world in early 2011 have been compared by some commentators to the fall of the Berlin Wall. In an exhilarating push for democratic change, long-term rulers have been ousted and others challenged seriously for the first time. But despite what has been achieved, many voices from the region have urged caution: even in those countries which have seen the greatest changes, the internal security apparatus and other structures of repression have remained largely intact and the struggle for real constitutional reform continues.

Quite apart from the question of the likely outcome of attempted reform is the separate, albeit related, question of the human cost of challenging the established order. Video footage of armed police and in some cases tanks confronting unarmed protestors has graphically demonstrated the dangers attending the 'Arab Spring'. Yet the risks to civilian life, and levels of actual violence, vary widely across the region. The ability of a state to undergo political change without violence is widely considered a hallmark of a mature democracy

(although the record shows that democracies, even very old ones, are hardly immune from violent conflict). Which combination of circumstances, then, makes the onset of mass killing more likely and which conditions lower the risk of a state, even an autocratic one, descending to bloody violence?

It is to help answer such questions that Minority Rights Group International has developed the Peoples under Threat index. Since 2005 Peoples under Threat has pioneered the use of statistical analysis to identify situations around the world where communities are most at risk of mass killing. On numerous occasions since the index was first developed, countries that have risen sharply up the table have later proved to be the scene of mass human rights violations.

Risk factors for mass killing

The Peoples under Threat index is created from a basket of ten indicators, all known antecedents to mass violence. These include indicators of good governance, rule of law, prevailing conflict, international trade risk, and previous experience of genocide or political mass killing. They reflect the fact that communities are more at risk in closed states with poor governance, prone to conflict and with a record of previous killing.

Separate research by MRG has shown that in some three quarters of recent conflicts, much of the killing has been targeted by ethnicity or religion.

Major risers since 2010

| Rank | Rise in rank since 2010 | Country | Group | Total |
|------|-------------------------|---------------|--|-------|
| 3 | 1 | Afghanistan | Hazara, Pashtun, Tajiks, Uzbeks, Turkmen, Baluchis | 21.77 |
| 10 | 9 | Côte d'Ivoire | Northern Mande (Dioula), Senoufo, Bete and Guéré, newly-settled groups | 17.63 |
| 15 | 5 | Yemen | Zaydi Shia, 'Akhdam' | 15.89 |
| 20 | 3 | Nepal | Madheshis (Terai), Dalits, linguistic minorities | 14.09 |
| 21 | 3 | Uganda | Acholi, Karamojong, Basongora, Batwa | 13.81 |
| 25 | 4 | Guinea | Fulani (Peul), Malinke | 13.48 |
| 36 | 19 | Kyrgyzstan | Uzbeks, Russians | 12.21 |
| 46 | 10 | North Korea | Political/social targets, religious minorities | 11.54 |
| 50 | New entry | Kosovo | Serbs, Roma/Ashkali/Egyptians, Bosniaks, Turks, Gorani | 11.40 |
| 64 | New entry | Libya | 'Black' Libyans, migrant workers, political/social targets, Berbers | 10.51 |

Leading studies concur, however, that the degree of ethnic diversity in a state is not itself positively correlated with risk of conflict. This apparent paradox resolves when we note that risks rise sharply when socio-political divisions in society fall on ethnic or religious lines. Ethnicity and religion have also proved powerful mobilising factors once conflict begins, and are typically reflected in patterns of human displacement. Peoples under Threat thus also includes indicators of group division. Many – although not all – of the specific communities listed as under threat will be minorities, whose smaller numbers and relative degree of marginalization will increase their vulnerability. More information on the methodology of Peoples under Threat is given below.

Among those states that have risen significantly in the table since last year are two from the Arab world, Yemen and Libya. The threat level in Yemen has now risen sharply every year for the last five years, as the population faces at least four separate patterns of political violence: the renewed conflict with al-Houthi rebels in the north of the country; a separate campaign by the Southern Movement which has taken control of four districts in Shabwa; bombing and violent clashes in Abyan between government forces and Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula; and finally, popular demonstrations against the government in Sana'a, Aden, Taiz and other major cities which have met with violent repression leading to over 80 deaths. The greatest humanitarian toll has to date been suffered by the Zaydi Shi'a population in the north where some 300,000 people have been displaced, many repeatedly, but as the state begins to fracture other communities are also at risk, including the 'Akhdam', a group who are historically marginalized in Yemen and live without tribal protection.

The situation in Libya has dominated news headlines around the world as US- and NATO-led forces have carried out aerial bombing in support of UN Security Council Resolution 1973 which mandated a no-fly zone and other measures to protect civilians and civilian-populated areas under threat of attack. Civilian deaths have increased since the start of international military action, particularly in Misrata and towns on the central coast as troops loyal to Colonel Gaddafi have launched fierce attempts to regain control. There were also casualties in the mainly Berber town of Zuwara, west of

Tripoli, which was retaken by the government in mid-March, and at least 500 Berbers have fled Libya to Tunisia. Since the early days of the Libyan uprising there have also been reports of organized racist attacks on so-called 'Black' Libyans and foreign workers, particularly in rebel-held areas. Officials of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees related that refugees arriving from eastern Libya at the Egyptian border reported that armed Libyans had been going from door to door, forcing sub-Saharan Africans to leave. Tens of thousands of refugees arriving at camps in both Tunisia and Egypt have said they were accused of being mercenaries hired by the government, and told of racist killings and beatings. In all, some 500,000 people have fled the country, a large proportion of them foreign workers. Libya has a long history of discrimination against its large population of sub-Saharan migrants, including racially-motivated killings, previously earning the censure of the UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination.

Other Arab states also occupy relatively high positions in the Peoples under Threat index, including Syria which rose in the table this year and which has a history of violent repression of both Kurds and Palestinians.

The most notable riser in the table this year, however, is Côte d'Ivoire, which jumped nine places to enter the top ten. Following disputed Presidential elections in November, the incumbent President Laurent Gbagbo refused to cede power, despite international calls for him to resign. The conflict that ensued saw the onset of mass killings driven by ethnic factors that have divided the country for the last decade. By the end of March 2011 (the make-up date for Peoples under Threat) over 1,000 people had been killed, included hundreds of Guéré civilians in the western town of Duékoué. Even with the departure of Gbagbo and the installation of President Alassane Ouattara, the risk of further killing remains high, with over one million internally displaced, and armed militias on both sides threatening revenge attacks. A recent mission for the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights found evidence of extra-judicial killings, enforced disappearances, torture and sexual violence in the capital Abidjan and the rest of the country. The UN Security Council has called on President Ouattara to form an 'all-inclusive, broad-based government',

and to implement his promise to investigate human rights violations and initiate a justice and reconciliation process, but the level of cooperation across the north-south divide is poor and inter-community trust largely absent.

The dangers of transition

Recent events in both Côte d'Ivoire and in the Arab world underline that political transitions – even from authoritarianism towards democracy – carry inherent dangers. For those spearheading protest or revolution, the most immediate danger may come in the form of violent repression from a threatened regime. But in many situations, minorities have the most to fear from political instability itself, or from the negative side of popular movements. This was perhaps most tragically demonstrated in recent history by the series of ethno-nationalist conflicts that were sparked by the fall of the former Eastern Bloc, and a number of the situations that figure prominently in Peoples under Threat this year are still marked by that legacy.

In Kyrgyzstan, following the overthrow of President Bakiyev in April 2010, MRG warned that political tension could take on an ethnic character and called on the interim government to prevent an escalation of violence against minorities. Unfortunately in June widespread rioting broke out in the southern cities of Osh and Jalalabad. Although both Kyrgyz and Uzbeks were involved in the violence, Uzbeks were disproportionately affected, with 'groups of ethnic Kyrgyz attack[ing] ethnic Uzbeks in a systematic manner, killing, looting and burning, sometimes provoking counter attacks', in the words of the OSCE High Commissioner on National Minorities. Some 500 people were killed, mostly Uzbeks, and 2,000 buildings destroyed. An official inquiry into the events released in January pinned much of the blame for starting the violence on local Uzbek politicians, reflecting the reluctance of the Kyrgyz authorities to accept responsibility for their failure to protect the Uzbek community during the June events, itself a dangerous precedent.

Figures are included on Kosovo, as a separate entity from Serbia, for the first time this year, and it has jumped into the table at number 50. With Kosovo's unilateral declaration of independence in 2009, the Kosovo Serbs became at a stroke Europe's

newest minority. In practice the community, heavily concentrated north of the River Ibar, became highly segregated after 1999 when Kosovo became an international protectorate, with separate systems for education, healthcare and policing. The community still looks to neighbouring Serbia for security. Serbs living elsewhere fear a repeat of the anti-Serb violence of March 2004, when over 4,000 were displaced. Complaints of lack of effective protection and forced assimilation are also voiced by smaller minorities, including Bosniaks, Turks, Gorani, Roma, Ashkali and Egyptians.

However, Kosovo is not the highest placed part of the former Yugoslavia in the table; that unwelcome distinction falls to Bosnia and Herzegovina which remains stuck at number 28 after a difficult year in which attempts at constitutional reform to improve minority participation were blocked and political deadlock worsened further. A year ago Bosnia's senior politicians and representatives of the international community agreed that despite the country's political problems, a return to inter-ethnic violence was impossible; now, some are not so sure.

Accomplishing successful political transition is always partly about managing popular expectations and in Nepal a series of stalemates in the constitutional reform process is turning high expectations into rising frustration. After the end of the war in late 2006, prospects for the country improved but Nepal has remained relatively high in the Peoples under Threat table, and rose again this year. Entrenched discrimination against Dalits, marginalization of the Janajati and unaddressed grievances of Madhesis in the Terai are all factors contributing to deep divisions in Nepalese society.

Two other states that have risen in the table this year, Uganda and North Korea, could hardly be more different, but both have long-term, ageing rulers facing the prospect of inevitable transition. North Korea is the most closed society in the world, but everything we know about it indicates that the calculus of mass repression is highly systematic. In Uganda, which saw mass ethnic killing before the coming into power of the National Resistance Movement, human rights violations and communal tensions have both risen again in the last years of Museveni's Presidency. In both states, the exact form that transition will take is hard to predict, but it is a dangerous time.

Where the killing is ongoing

Peoples under Threat is designed to identify at the earliest possible stage those situations where communities are at risk of future killing, but the sad reality is that in many of those states at the top of the list the killing is ongoing. Somalia, Sudan, Afghanistan, Iraq, Burma, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and Nigeria have remained over time at the head of the list, joined in recent years by Pakistan and Ethiopia.

This year Somalia marks 20 years without an effective government, since the fall of Siad Barre in 1991. The situation deteriorated once again during 2010, with heavy fighting in Mogadishu. Clashes in Somaliland, generally considered the most peaceful part of the country, displaced more than 3,000 people in Sool, Sanang and Cayn this February. In November a new report from MRG detailed the appalling situation of the country's minorities: Bantu, Benadiri and the 'caste' groups which together are estimated to constitute up to a third of the population. The traditional clan structure of Somali society excludes minorities from any but the most low-status employment, denies them proper education and meaningful

political participation, and prevents inter-marriage with members of majority clans. Outside the clan protection system, minorities live a precarious existence where they can be attacked or dispossessed with impunity. However, as the situation in Mogadishu demonstrates, it is not just the minority communities who are at risk: the ongoing conflict has repeatedly fractured society on clan lines, and inter-clan rivalry has touched every region of the country.

Afghanistan tops the list of major risers in the table this year, rising to number three. Civilian deaths have climbed every year for the past five years, totalling nearly 3,000 in 2010 according to the UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan. Over 75 per cent of these the UN attributes to anti-government forces, including the Taliban, while government forces, NATO and the US were together responsible for 440 civilian killings, nearly half in aerial bombing. The continued weakness of the central government, internal disunity and systemic corruption contribute to the poor prognosis, as does the fact that the Taliban now appear able to carry out complex, coordinated attacks in the capital. Any further escalation of

Peoples most under threat – highest rated countries 2011

| Rank | Country | Group | Total |
|------|------------------------|--|-------|
| 1 | Somalia | Minorities incl. Bantu, Benadiri and 'caste' groups (Gabooye etc.); clan members at risk in fighting incl. Hawiye, Darod, etc. | 23.66 |
| 2 | Sudan | Fur, Zaghawa, Massalit and others in Darfur; Dinka, Nuer and others in the South; Nuba, Beja | 21.89 |
| 3 | Afghanistan | Hazara, Pashtun, Tajiks, Uzbeks, Turkmen, Baluchis | 21.77 |
| 4 | Iraq | Shia, Sunnis, Kurds, Turkmen, Christians, Mandaeans, Yezidis, Shabak, Faily Kurds, Bahá'is, Palestinians | 21.31 |
| 5 | Burma/Myanmar | Kachin, Karenni, Karen, Mons, Rakhine, Rohingya, Wa Shan, Chin (Zomis), Wa | 20.99 |
| 6 | Pakistan | Ahmadiyya, Baluchis, Hindus, Mohhajirs, Pashtun, Sindhis, other religious minorities | 20.70 |
| 7 | Dem. Rep. of the Congo | Hema and Lendu, Hutu, Luba, Lunda, Tutsi/Banyamulenge, Batwa/Bambutu, other groups | 19.67 |
| 8 | Ethiopia | Anuak, Afars, Oromo, Somalis, smaller minorities | 19.37 |
| 9 | Nigeria | Ibo, Ijaw, Ogoni, Yoruba, Hausa (Muslims) and Christians in the North | 18.26 |
| 10 | Côte d'Ivoire | Northern Mande (Dioula), Senoufo, Bete and Guéré, newly-settled groups | 17.63 |

the conflict or major re-alignment of power in Kabul carries the risk of large-scale bloodshed in a country still split between the Pashtun-dominated south, heartland of the Taliban, and the largely Tajik-Uzbek strongholds of the former Northern Alliance.

Sudan rose in the table last year in advance of a nationwide referendum on the future of South Sudan. The vote went ahead in January, resulting in a strong mandate for secession, but the region has been scarred by inter-communal violence and clashes between militia groups and the Sudan People's Liberation Army (SPLA) of the southern government. Over 100 people have been killed and 20,000 displaced in the disputed territory of

Abyei, where a separate referendum on whether to join the north or south of Sudan was prevented by failure to agree the ground rules. In a situation with uncomfortable echoes of the continuing violence in Sudan's Darfur region, Misseriya pastoralists in Abyei are confronting Ngok-Dinka communities, while the Sudan Armed Forces (SAF) and the SPLA have both sent deployments. In a joint statement in March, the UN Special Advisers on the Prevention of Genocide and the Responsibility to Protect warned that 'Given the perception that the SAF supports the Misseriya Arabs and the SPLA supports the Ngok-Dinka, a standoff between the two armies is very dangerous... [and] could easily trigger further ethnic-based violence in Abyei.' ■

How is *Peoples under Threat* calculated?

Since the genocide in Rwanda in 1994, our ability to identify those situations most likely to lead to genocide or mass killing has improved. A number of comparative studies of the factors preceding historic episodes of political mass killing had been undertaken since the 1970s, including by Helen Fein and Ted Robert Gurr, but it was not until the 1990s that researchers such as Rudolf Rummel and Matthew Krain pioneered quantitative longitudinal analysis of a wide range of such factors, enabling the testing of different causal hypotheses. Rummel, for example, showed the very strong relationship between concentration of government power and state mass murder; Krain demonstrated the correlation between existing armed conflict or political instability and the onset and severity of mass killing.

Following the early work of the Clinton administration's policy initiative on genocide early warning and prevention, Professor Barbara Harff, a senior consultant with the US State Failure Task Force, constructed and tested models of the antecedents of genocide and political mass murder and her results were published in 2003 ('Assessing Risks of Genocide and Political Mass Murder since 1955', *American Political Science Review* 97, February 2003). Her optimal model identifies six preconditions that make it possible to distinguish, with 74 per cent accuracy, between internal wars

and regime collapses in the period 1955 – 1997 that did, and those that did not, lead to genocide and political mass murder (politicide). The six preconditions are: political upheaval; previous genocides or politicides; exclusionary ideology of the ruling elite; autocratic nature of the regime; minority character of the ruling elite; and low trade openness.

MRG has drawn on these research findings to construct the *Peoples under Threat* table, although responsibility for the final table is exclusively our own. *Peoples under Threat* is specifically designed to identify the risk of genocide, mass killing or other systematic violent repression, unlike most other early warning tools, which focus on violent conflict as such. Its primary application is civilian protection.

Indicators of conflict are included in the table's construction, however, as most, although not all, episodes of mass ethnic or religious killing occur during armed conflicts. War provides the state of emergency, domestic mobilization and justification, international cover, and in some cases the military and logistic capacity, that enable massacres to be carried out. Some massacres, however, occur in peacetime, or may accompany armed conflict from its inception, presenting a problem to risk models that focus exclusively on current conflicts. In addition, severe and even violent repression of minorities may occur for years before the onset of armed conflict provides

the catalyst for larger scale killing.

The statistical indicators used all relate to the state. The state is the basic unit of enquiry, rather than particular ethnic or religious groups at risk, as governments or militias connected to the government are responsible for most cases of genocidal violence. Formally, the state will reserve to itself the monopoly over the means of violence, so that where non-state actors are responsible for widespread or continued killing, it usually occurs with either the complicity of the state or in a 'failed state' situation where the rule of law has disintegrated. Certain characteristics at the level of the state will greatly increase the likelihood of atrocity, including habituation to illegal violence among the armed forces or police, prevailing impunity for human rights violations, official tolerance or encouragement of hate speech against particular groups, and in extreme cases, prior experience of mass killing. Egregious episodes of mass killing targeted principally at one group have also seen other groups deliberately decimated or destroyed.

However, some groups may experience higher levels of discrimination and be at greater risk than others in any given state. MRG has identified those groups in each state which we believe to be under most threat. (This does not mean that other groups or indeed the general population may not also be at some risk.) It should be noted that although these groups are most often minorities, in some cases ethnic or religious majorities will also be at risk and in relevant cases

are therefore also listed in the table. In some cases, all the groups in the country are at risk of ethnic or sectarian killing.

One indicator that has been tested and discarded by a number of studies is the general level of ethnic or cultural diversity in a society. Krain did not find any correlation between 'ethnic fractionalization' and the onset of genocide or political mass killing. Similarly, neither of the patterns of ethnic diversity tested by Harff had any effect on the likelihood of mass killing (although she did find the minority character of the ruling elite to be significant). These findings are supported by research on the relationship between diversity and conflict.

The overall measure is based on a basket of ten indicators. These include indicators of democracy or good governance from the World Bank, conflict indicators from the Center for Systemic Peace and other leading global conflict research institutes, indicators of group division or elite factionalization from the Fund for Peace and the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, the State Failure Task Force data on prior genocides and politicides, and the country credit risk classification published by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (as a proxy for trade openness). For citations and further information, see the notes to the table. For a fuller discussion of the methodology, see *State of the World's Minorities 2006*.

Based on current indicators from authoritative sources, *Peoples under Threat* seeks to identify those groups or peoples most under threat in 2011. ■

Right: Two Uighur women living in Norway protest in front of the Chinese Embassy in Oslo.

Fredrik Naumann/Panos.



| Country | Group | Conflict indicators | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | A. Self-determination conflicts | B. Major armed conflict | C. Prior genocide/politicide |
| Somalia | Minorities incl. Bantu, Benadiri and 'caste' groups (Gabooye etc.); clan members at risk in fighting incl. Hawiye, Darod, etc. | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Sudan | Fur, Zaghawa, Massalit and others in Darfur; Dinka, Nuer and others in the South; Nuba, Beja | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Afghanistan | Hazara, Pashtun, Tajiks, Uzbeks, Turkmen, Baluchis | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Iraq | Shia, Sunnis, Kurds, Turkmen, Christians, Mandaeans, Yezidis, Shabak, Failsi Kurds, Baha'is, Palestinians | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Burma/ Myanmar | Kachin, Karenni, Karen, Mons, Rakhine, Rohingyas, Shan, Chin (Zomis), Wa | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Pakistan | Ahmadiyya, Baluchis, Hindus, Mohhajirs, Pashtun, Sindhis, other religious minorities | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Dem. Rep. of the Congo | Hema and Lendu, Hutu, Luba, Lunda, Tutsi/Banyamulenge, Batwa/Bambutu, other groups | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Ethiopia | Anuak, Afars, Oromo, Somalis, smaller minorities | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Nigeria | Ibo, Ijaw, Ogoni, Yoruba, Hausa (Muslims) and Christians in the North | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Côte d'Ivoire | Northern Mande (Dioula), Senoufo, Bete and Guéré, newly-settled groups | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Israel/OPT | Palestinians in Gaza/West Bank, Israeli Palestinians | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Zimbabwe | Ndebele, Europeans, political/social targets | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Chad | Black African' groups, Arabs, Southerners | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Iran | Arabs, Azeris, Baha'is, Baluchis, Kurds, Turkomen | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Yemen | Zaydi Shia, 'Akhdam' | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Central African Republic | Kaba (Sara), Mboum, Mbororo, Aka | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Sri Lanka | Tamils, Muslims | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Philippines | Indigenous peoples, Moros (Muslims), Chinese | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Russian Federation | Chechens, Ingush and others in North Caucasus; indigenous northern peoples, Roma, Jews | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Nepal | Madheshis (Terai), Dalits, linguistic minorities | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Uganda | Acholi, Karamojong, Basongora, Batwa | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Burundi | Hutu, Tutsi, Batwa | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Angola | Bakongo, Cabindans, Ovimbundu, Pastoralists, San and Kwisi | 4 | 0 | 1 |

| Indicators of group division | | | Democracy/governance indicators | | | | Total |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| D. Massive movement – refugees and IDPs | E. Legacy of vengeance – group grievance | F. Rise of factionalized elites | G. Voice and accountability | H. Political stability | I. Rule of law | J. OECD country risk classification | |
| 10 | 9.7 | 10 | -1.99 | -3.31 | -2.53 | 7 | 23.66 |
| 9.8 | 9.9 | 9.9 | -1.59 | -2.65 | -1.34 | 7 | 21.89 |
| 9.2 | 9.7 | 9.4 | -1.39 | -2.75 | -2.04 | 7 | 21.77 |
| 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.6 | -1.17 | -2.33 | -1.83 | 7 | 21.31 |
| 8.3 | 8.7 | 8.2 | -2.17 | -1.72 | -1.52 | 7 | 20.99 |
| 8.9 | 9.4 | 9.5 | -1.00 | -2.76 | -0.93 | 7 | 20.70 |
| 9.6 | 8.6 | 8.9 | -1.45 | -2.13 | -1.70 | 7 | 19.67 |
| 7.8 | 8.6 | 9.0 | -1.26 | -1.73 | -0.77 | 7 | 19.37 |
| 5.8 | 9.5 | 9.4 | -0.85 | -1.95 | -1.22 | 5 | 18.26 |
| 8 | 8.9 | 8.5 | -1.16 | -1.53 | -1.33 | 7 | 17.63 |
| 7.8 | 9.5 | 8.2 | -1.01 | -1.98 | -0.37 | 8 | 17.61 |
| 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.5 | -1.55 | -1.44 | -1.91 | 7 | 16.76 |
| 9.5 | 9.8 | 9.8 | -1.40 | -1.75 | -1.53 | 7 | 16.66 |
| 8.3 | 8.1 | 9.5 | -1.49 | -1.52 | -0.90 | 6 | 16.48 |
| 8.3 | 8.2 | 9.2 | -1.27 | -2.31 | -1.150 | 7 | 15.89 |
| 9.3 | 8.9 | 9.1 | -0.98 | -2.03 | -1.32 | 7 | 15.76 |
| 9.4 | 9.6 | 9.4 | -0.50 | -1.33 | -0.07 | 6 | 15.63 |
| 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.0 | -0.12 | -1.42 | -0.53 | 4 | 15.29 |
| 5.4 | 7.1 | 7.6 | -0.95 | -0.72 | -0.77 | 3 | 14.67 |
| 7 | 9.2 | 8.5 | -0.58 | -1.81 | -0.96 | 7 | 14.09 |
| 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.6 | -0.49 | -1.06 | -0.43 | 6 | 13.81 |
| 8.4 | 7.8 | 7.9 | -0.73 | -1.42 | -1.20 | 7 | 13.74 |
| 6.9 | 5.9 | 6.8 | -1.14 | -0.24 | -1.19 | 6 | 13.59 |

| Country | Group | Conflict indicators | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | A. Self-determination conflicts | B. Major armed conflict | C. Prior genocide/politicide |
| Lebanon | Druze, Maronite Christians, Palestinians, Shia, Sunnis | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Guinea | Fulani (Peul), Malinke | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Equatorial Guinea | Bubi, Annobon Islanders | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Georgia | Adzhars, Abkhazians, South Ossetians | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | Croats, Bosniac Muslims, Serbs, Roma | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Colombia | Political/social targets, Afro-descendants, indigenous peoples | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Syria | Kurds, Palestinians | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Algeria | Berbers, Saharawi | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Laos | Hmong, other highland peoples | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Rwanda | Hutu, Tutsi, Batwa | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Indonesia | Acehnese, Chinese, Dayaks, Madurese, Papuans | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Thailand | Chinese, Malay-Muslims, Northern Hill Tribes | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Kyrgyzstan | Uzbeks, Russians | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Eritrea | Afars, Saho, Tigre, religious minorities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kenya | Borana, Kalenjin, Kikuyu, Luyha, Luo, Muslims, Turkana, Endorois, Masai, Ogiek, other indigenous groups | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Niger | Djerema-songhai, Hausa, Tuaregs | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkey | Kurds, Alevi, Roma, Armenians and other Christians | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Haiti | Political/social targets | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Bangladesh | Ahmadiyya, Hindus, other religious minorities; Chittagong Hill Tribes | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Uzbekistan | Tajiks, Islamic political groups, religious minorities, Karakalpaks, Russians | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| China | Tibetans, Uyghurs, Mongols, Hui, religious minorities | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Cambodia | Cham, Vietnamese, indigenous hill tribes (Khmer Leou) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| North Korea | Political/social targets, religious minorities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Azerbaijan | Armenians | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Tajikistan | Uzbeks, Russians | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Venezuela | Indigenous peoples, Afro-descendants | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kosovo | Serbs, Roma/Ashkali/Egyptians, Bosniaks, Turks, Gorani | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Cameroon | Westerners | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Serbia | Bosniaks, Ethnic Albanians, Croats, Roma | 2 | 0 | 1 |

| Indicators of group division | | | Democracy/governance indicators | | | | Total |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| D. Massive movement – refugees and IDPs | E. Legacy of vengeance – group grievance | F. Rise of factionalized elites | G. Voice and accountability | H. Political stability | I. Rule of law | J. OECD country risk classification | |
| 8.9 | 9.0 | 8.8 | -0.33 | -1.51 | -0.64 | 7 | 13.56 |
| 7.5 | 8.2 | 9.3 | -1.43 | -1.90 | -1.61 | 7 | 13.48 |
| 2.3 | 6.8 | 8.4 | -1.82 | -0.02 | -1.27 | 7 | 13.40 |
| 7.8 | 8.4 | 9.1 | -0.18 | -0.99 | -0.17 | 6 | 13.06 |
| 7.1 | 8.7 | 9.2 | -0.05 | -0.57 | -0.39 | 7 | 13.05 |
| 9 | 7.2 | 8.0 | -0.21 | -1.67 | -0.44 | 4 | 12.85 |
| 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.8 | -1.63 | -0.68 | -0.47 | 6 | 12.70 |
| 6.5 | 8.2 | 6.8 | -1.04 | -1.20 | -0.73 | 3 | 12.68 |
| 5.9 | 6.8 | 8.5 | -1.71 | 0.00 | -0.94 | 7 | 12.56 |
| 7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | -1.29 | -0.33 | -0.51 | 7 | 12.42 |
| 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | -0.05 | -0.64 | -0.56 | 4 | 12.32 |
| 6.7 | 7.8 | 8.0 | -0.40 | -1.11 | -0.13 | 3 | 12.27 |
| 5.2 | 7.4 | 7.4 | -0.96 | -0.50 | -1.29 | 7 | 12.21 |
| 7.2 | 6.1 | 7.9 | -2.16 | -0.80 | -1.24 | 7 | 12.11 |
| 8.7 | 8.9 | 8.7 | -0.32 | -1.30 | -1.07 | 6 | 12.07 |
| 6.5 | 8.0 | 7.6 | -0.70 | -1.17 | -0.64 | 7 | 12.07 |
| 6.3 | 8.0 | 7.8 | -0.12 | -0.88 | 0.12 | 4 | 12.06 |
| 5.6 | 7.3 | 8.4 | -0.60 | -0.87 | -1.34 | 7 | 11.99 |
| 6.7 | 8.9 | 8.9 | -0.37 | -1.55 | -0.72 | 6 | 11.97 |
| 5.1 | 7.4 | 9.0 | -1.93 | -0.91 | -1.22 | 6 | 11.89 |
| 6.6 | 8.0 | 7.2 | -1.65 | -0.44 | -0.35 | 2 | 11.82 |
| 5.3 | 6.9 | 7.7 | -0.88 | -0.63 | -1.05 | 6 | 11.63 |
| 5.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 | -2.24 | -0.24 | -1.25 | 7 | 11.54 |
| 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | -1.20 | -0.39 | -0.81 | 5 | 11.51 |
| 6.2 | 6.9 | 8.4 | -1.33 | -1.00 | -1.22 | 7 | 11.51 |
| 5.1 | 6.8 | 7.5 | -0.79 | -1.41 | -1.59 | 7 | 11.40 |
| 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.0 | -0.08 | -0.68 | -0.48 | 7 | 11.40 |
| 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.7 | -1.03 | -0.41 | -1.07 | 6 | 11.23 |
| 6.9 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 0.32 | -0.50 | -0.41 | 6 | 11.12 |

| Country | Group | Conflict indicators | | |
|---------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | A. Self-determination conflicts | B. Major armed conflict | C. Prior genocide/politicide |
| Mauritania | Haratins ('Black Moors'), Kewri | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Djibouti | Afars | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Ecuador | Afro-descendants, Indigenous peoples | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Timor Leste | 'Westerners', 'Easterners', Muslims, Chinese | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moldova | Trans-Dniester Slavs | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Vietnam | Montagnards (Degar), other highland peoples, religious minorities | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Nicaragua | Indigenous peoples, Creoles | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| India | Assamese, Bodos, Nagas, Tripuras, other Adivasis; Kashmiris, Sikhs, Muslims, Dalits | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Guinea Bissau | Balanta,Fula (Fulani), Manjaco (Manjack or Mandyako), Mandinga (Mandinka), Papel (Pepel), Ejamat (Felupe), Jola (Diola), Susu , Cape Verdeans | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Liberia | Dan, Krahn, Ma, other groups | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Congo (Rep.) | Lari, M'Boshi, Aka | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Libya | Black' Libyans, migrant workers, political/social targets, Berbers | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Guatemala | Indigenous peoples, Garifuna | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Bolivia | Indigenous Highland, Indigenous Lowland, Afro-Bolivians | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Armenia | Armenians, Yezidi Kurds, Russians, Assyrians, Kurds, Ukrainians, Greeks | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkmenistan | Uzbeks, Russians, Kazakhs, religious minorities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fiji | East Indians, Fijians | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Togo | Ewe, Kabre | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes to Table

Sources of the indicators are as follows:

- Conflict indicators:** The base data used was Monty G Marshall, 'Major Episodes of Political Violence 1946-2010' (Center for Systemic Peace, 2010) and, for self-determination conflicts, Monty G Marshall and Ted R Gurr, 'Peace and Conflict 2005' (CIDCM, University of Maryland, 2005) updated for 2010 using figures from Center for Systemic Peace, MRG and the Heidelberg Institute for International

Conflict Research.

Self-determination conflicts in 2010 were ranked on a scale of 0-5 as follows: 5=ongoing armed conflict; 4=contained armed conflict; 3=settled armed conflict; 2=militant politics; 1=conventional politics. Major armed conflicts were classified as 2=ongoing in late 2010; 1=emerging from conflict since 2006 or ongoing conflict with deaths under 1,000.

- Prior genocide or politicide:** Harff, US Political Instability Task Force (formerly State Failure Task Force). 1=one or more episodes since 1945.

| Indicators of group division | | | Democracy/governance indicators | | | | Total |
|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------|
| D. Massive movement – refugees and IDPs | E. Legacy of vengeance – group grievance | F. Rise of factionalized elites | G. Voice and accountability | H. Political stability | I. Rule of law | J. OECD country risk classification | |
| 6.4 | 8.0 | 7.9 | -1.01 | -1.17 | -0.84 | 7 | 11.11 |
| 6.8 | 5.9 | 7.1 | -1.11 | 0.48 | -0.65 | 8 | 11.08 |
| 6.1 | 6.4 | 7.8 | -0.26 | -0.75 | -1.28 | 7 | 11.05 |
| 9.1 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 0.09 | -0.48 | -1.25 | 8 | 10.86 |
| 4.3 | 6.9 | 8.0 | -0.31 | -0.50 | -0.45 | 7 | 10.84 |
| 5.2 | 5.3 | 7.0 | -1.52 | 0.19 | -0.43 | 5 | 10.80 |
| 5 | 6.3 | 7 | -0.49 | -0.51 | -0.83 | 7 | 10.76 |
| 5.2 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 0.47 | -1.19 | 0.05 | 3 | 10.75 |
| 6.8 | 5.8 | 8.9 | -0.76 | -0.49 | -1.38 | 7 | 10.59 |
| 8.2 | 6.3 | 8.1 | -0.32 | -0.99 | -1.09 | 7 | 10.54 |
| 7.7 | 6.3 | 7.1 | -1.04 | -0.41 | -1.19 | 7 | 10.53 |
| 4.3 | 5.8 | 7.1 | -1.89 | 0.62 | -0.75 | 5 | 10.51 |
| 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.3 | -0.33 | -0.73 | -1.12 | 5 | 10.42 |
| 4.7 | 7.7 | 8.3 | -0.08 | -0.82 | -1.22 | 6 | 10.32 |
| 6.9 | 6.0 | 7.0 | -0.82 | 0.09 | -0.40 | 6 | 10.20 |
| 4.6 | 6.3 | 7.7 | -2.06 | 0.18 | -1.37 | 6 | 10.10 |
| 4.2 | 7.4 | 8.2 | -0.72 | -0.22 | -0.76 | 8 | 10.00 |
| 6.2 | 5.6 | 7.6 | -1.04 | -0.21 | -0.90 | 7 | 9.76 |

- *Indicators of Group Division*: Failed States Index, Fund for Peace and the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2010
- *Democracy/Governance Indicators*: Annual Governance Indicators, World Bank, 2010
- *OECD country risk classification*: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 'Country Risk Classifications of the Participants to the Arrangement on Officially Supported Export Credits', January 2011. Where no classification is given, a value of 8 was accorded.

Indicators were rebased as necessary to give an equal weighting to the five categories above, with the exception of the prior geno-/politicide indicator. As a dichotomous variable this received a lesser weighting to avoid too great a distortion to the final ranking. Resulting values were then summed.

The full formula is:

$$(A/2) + (B \times 1.25) + (C \times 2) + (D+E+F)/6 + (G+H+I)/-1 + (J \times 0.625)$$

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

■ Ratification, accession or succession.

□ Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■► Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■► Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Africa | | | | |
| Algeria | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Angola | | | ■● | ■ |
| Benin | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Botswana | | ■ | ■ | |
| Burkina Faso | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Burundi | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Cameroon | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Cape Verde | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Central African Republic | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Chad | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Comoros | ■ | ■ | □ | □ |
| Congo | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Côte d'Ivoire | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Djibouti | | □ | ■● | ■ |
| Egypt | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Equatorial Guinea | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Eritrea | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Ethiopia | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Gabon | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Gambia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Ghana | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Guinea | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Guinea Bissau | | □ | □ | ■ |
| Kenya | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Lesotho | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Liberia | ■ | ■ | ■○ | ■ |
| Libyan Arab Jamahiriya | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Madagascar | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Malawi | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Mali | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Mauritania | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Mauritius | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Morocco | ■ | ■► | ■ | ■ |
| Mozambique | ■ | ■ | ■ | |
| Namibia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Niger | | ■ | ■● | ■ |

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

■ Ratification, accession or succession.

□ Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■► Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■► Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Nigeria | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Rwanda | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Sahrawi Arab Democratic Republic | | | | |
| São Tomé and Príncipe | | □ | □○ | □ |
| Senegal | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Seychelles | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Sierra Leone | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Somalia | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| South Africa | ■ | ■► | ■● | □ |
| Sudan | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Swaziland | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Togo | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Tunisia | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Uganda | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| United Republic of Tanzania | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Zambia | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Zimbabwe | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Americas | | | | |
| Antigua and Barbuda | ■ | ■ | | |
| Argentina | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Bahamas | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Barbados | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Belize | ■ | ■ | ■ | □ |
| Bolivia | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Brazil | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Canada | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Chile | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Colombia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Costa Rica | ■ | ■► | ■● | ■ |
| Cuba | ■ | ■ | □ | □ |
| Dominica | | | ■ | ■ |

| Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women 1979 | Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 | ILO 111 Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention 1958 | ILO 169 Convention Concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries 1989 | International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families 1990 | ICC Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 1998 | African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights 1981 | African Charter on the Rights and Welfare of the Child 1990 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | | ■ | ■ |
| | | | | | | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | □ | □ | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | □ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| | □ | ■ | | | | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| | ■ | ■ | | | □ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | ■ | ■ |
| | | | | | | American Convention on Human Rights 1969 | Additional Protocol to the American Convention on Human Rights in the area of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1988 |
| | | | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

■ Ratification, accession or succession.

□ Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Dominican Republic | □ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Ecuador | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| El Salvador | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Grenada | | □ | ■ | ■ |
| Guatemala | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Guyana | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Haiti | ■ | ■ | ■ | |
| Honduras | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Jamaica | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Mexico | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Nicaragua | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Panama | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Paraguay | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Peru | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Saint Kitts and Nevis | | ■ | | |
| Saint Lucia | | ■ | | |
| Saint Vincent and the Grenadines | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Suriname | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Trinidad and Tobago | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| United States of America | ■ | ■ | ■ | □ |
| Uruguay | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Venezuela | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Asia | | | | |
| Afghanistan | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Bangladesh | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Bhutan | | □ | | |
| Brunei Darussalam | | | | |
| Cambodia | ■ | ■ | ■○ | ■ |
| China | ■ | ■ | □ | ■ |
| Democratic People's Republic of Korea | ■ | | ■ | ■ |
| India | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Indonesia | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Japan | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Kazakhstan | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Kyrgyzstan | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Lao People's Democratic Republic | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

- Ratification, accession or succession.
- Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Malaysia | ■ | | | |
| Maldives | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Mongolia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Myanmar | ■ | | | |
| Nepal | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Pakistan | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Philippines | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Republic of Korea | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Singapore | ■ | | | |
| Sri Lanka | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Tajikistan | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Thailand | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Timor Leste | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Turkmenistan | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Uzbekistan | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Viet Nam | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Europe | | | | |
| Albania | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Andorra | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | |
| Armenia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Austria | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Azerbaijan | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Belarus | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Belgium | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Bulgaria | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Croatia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Cyprus | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Czech Republic | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Denmark | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Estonia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Finland | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| France | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Georgia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |

| Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women 1979 | Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 | ILO 111 Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention 1958 | ILO 169 Convention Concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries 1989 | International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families 1990 | ICC Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 1998 | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| ■ | ■ | | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| | | | | | | European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages 1992 | Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities 1995 |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | | □ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | □ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | □ | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | ■ |

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

■ Ratification, accession or succession.

□ Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■▷ Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Germany | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Greece | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Holy See | | ■ | | |
| Hungary | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Iceland | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Ireland | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Italy | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Latvia | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Liechtenstein | ■ | ■▶ | ■ | ■ |
| Lithuania | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Luxembourg | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Malta | | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Monaco | ■ | ■▶ | ■ | ■ |
| Montenegro | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Netherlands | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Norway | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Poland | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Portugal | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Republic of Moldova | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Romania | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Russian Federation | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| San Marino | | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Serbia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Slovakia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Slovenia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Spain | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Sweden | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Switzerland | ■ | ■▶ | ■ | ■ |
| The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Turkey | ■ | ■ | ■○ | ■ |
| Ukraine | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Middle East | | | | |
| Bahrain | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of) | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |

Status of ratification of major international and regional instruments relevant to minority and indigenous rights

as of 1 February 2011

■ Ratification, accession or succession.

□ Signature not yet followed by ratification.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Declaration on Article 14.

■▶ Ratification of ICERD and Signature of Declaration on Article 14.

■● Ratification of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

■○ Ratification of ICCPR and Signature of Optional Protocol.

□○ Signature of ICCPR and Optional Protocol.

| | International Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide 1948 | International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination 1965 | International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 | International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Iraq | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Israel | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Jordan | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Kuwait | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Lebanon | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Oman | | ■ | | |
| Qatar | | ■ | | |
| Saudi Arabia | ■ | ■ | | |
| Syrian Arab Republic | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| United Arab Emirates | ■ | ■ | | |
| Yemen | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Oceania | | | | |
| Australia | ■ | ■▶ | ■● | ■ |
| Cook Islands | | | | |
| Fiji | ■ | ■ | | |
| Kiribati | | | | |
| Marshall Islands | | | | |
| Micronesia (Federated States of) | | | | |
| Nauru | | □ | □ | |
| New Zealand | ■ | ■ | ■● | ■ |
| Niue | | | | |
| Palau | | | | |
| Papua New Guinea | ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ |
| Samoa | | | ■ | |
| Solomon Islands | | ■ | | ■ |
| Tonga | ■ | ■ | | |
| Tuvalu | | | | |
| Vanuatu | | | ■ | |

Compiled by Marusca Perazzi

Sources:

<http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/docs/RatificationStatus.pdf>

<http://www.unhchr.ch/tbs/doc.nsf/Statusfset?OpenFrameSet>

<http://www.iccnw.org/?mod=romesignatures>

<http://www.achpr.org/>

<http://www.oas.org/juridico/english/Sigs/b32.html>

<http://www.cidh.oas.org/>

<http://conventions.coe.int/>

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women 1979

Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989

ILO 111 Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention 1958

ILO 169 Convention Concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries 1989

International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families 1990

ICC Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 1998

| Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women 1979 | Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 | ILO 111 Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention 1958 | ILO 169 Convention Concerning Indigenous and Tribal Peoples in Independent Countries 1989 | International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families 1990 | ICC Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court 1998 | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | ■ | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| | ■ | | | | ■ | | |
| | ■ | | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | ■ | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | □ | | |
| | ■ | | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |
| ■ | ■ | ■ | | | | | |

Who are minorities?

Minorities of concern to MRG are disadvantaged ethnic, national, religious, linguistic or cultural groups who are smaller in number than the rest of the population and who may wish to maintain and develop their identity. MRG also works with indigenous peoples.

Other groups who may suffer discrimination are of concern to MRG, which condemns discrimination on any ground. However, the specific mission of MRG is to secure the rights of minorities and indigenous peoples around the world and to improve cooperation between communities.

Selected abbreviations

ACHPR – African Commission on Human and Peoples’ Rights

AHRC – Asian Human Rights Commission

AU – African Union

CEDAW – Convention on the Elimination of All forms of Discrimination Against Women

CERD – UN Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination

CRC – UN Convention on the Rights of the Child

ECHR – European Convention on Human Rights

ECtHR – European Court of Human Rights

EHRC – European Human Rights Commission

EU – European Union

FCNM – Council of Europe Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities

FGM – female genital mutilation

HRW – Human Rights Watch

IACtHR – Inter-American Court of Human Rights

ICC – International Criminal Court

ICCPR – International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

ICERD – International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination

ICESCR – International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

IDP – internally displaced person

ILO – International Labour Organization

IOM – International Organization for Migration

LGBT – lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender

MDGs – Millennium Development Goals

NGO – non-governmental organization

OAS – Organization of American States

OCHA – UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs

OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OHCHR – Office of the High Commissioner on Human Rights

OSCE – Organization for Security and Co-Operation in Europe

UDHR – Universal Declaration on Human Rights

UN – United Nations

UNDM – UN Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities

UNDP – UN Development Programme

UNDRIP – UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples

UNIFEM – UN Development Fund for Women

UNRWA – UN Relief and Works Agency

UNHCR – UN High Commissioner for Refugees

UPR – Universal Periodic Review

USCIRF – US Commission on International Religious Freedom

Contributors

Sumit Baudh (*Contributor – case study, South Asia*) is a lawyer, and has a decade of experience in the development and the corporate sector combined. Presently located in Delhi, he works as a consultant.

Maurice Bryan (*Americas*) is a Caribbean-born writer and communications consultant with a special focus on the use of information technology in a rights-based approach to social and economic development and cultural processes. He has worked in over 25 countries in Latin America, the Caribbean, Asia and Africa, and currently spends most of his time in Central America.

Michelle Carnegie (*Co-author – Violence against women in indigenous, minority and migrant groups*) is a Lecturer in the Development Studies and Culture Change Program at Macquarie University, Sydney. Her research interests include investigating social, environmental and political change processes in remote, rural places of the global South.

Joshua Castellino (*South Asia*) is Professor of Law and Head of the Law Department at Middlesex University, London. A former journalist in India, he has written several books and journal articles on issues concerning minority rights, indigenous peoples, comparative constitutional law and international law.

Deborah Eade (*Co-author - Women and armed conflict: from victims to activists*) has worked in the international development and humanitarian fields for 30 years, including a long-term senior assignment in Mexico and Central America. She was Editor-in-Chief of the journal *Development in Practice* from 1991 until 2010 and her many publications include *The Oxfam Handbook of Development and Relief* (3 vols.) and *Development, Women and War: Feminist Perspectives* (co-edited with Haleh Afshar).

Yakın Ertürk (*Preface*) served as a faculty member at the Department of Sociology, Middle East Technical University in Ankara, Turkey (Sept. 1986 – Oct 2010). In addition to her academic career, Yakın also served as Director of the International Research and

Training Institute for the Advancement of Women (INSTRAW) Director of The Division for the Advancement of Women (DAW) and as UN Special Rapporteur on Violence against Women (2003 – 2006). In November 2009 she was elected to the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT) for a term of four years.

Katalin Halász (*Europe*) is a researcher, writer and activist with expertise in anti-discrimination legislation, minority rights, Roma rights and racism as a crime. Over the last decade she has worked for national and international human rights organizations in Hungary, Germany, India, Belgium and the UK, and at the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg. She is currently undertaking a PhD research in Visual Sociology at Goldsmiths College, University of London, on the representation of race and ethnicity in contemporary visual arts

Rahnuma Hassan (*Southern Africa*) is an aspiring writer with a background in international development. She is interested in issues of identity and can be found on the internet writing about the intersections of race and gender in the context of development interventions.

Joanna Hoare (*SWM editor*) worked as Commissioning Editor at MRG in 2010/2011. Prior to this, she worked in editorial roles on the journals *Gender & Development* and *Feminist Review*, and as a freelance editor, writer and consultant on gender and development issues for a variety of NGOs and intergovernmental organisations.

Anna Horvai (*Co-author – Somalia*) has an MSc in Comparative and International Education from the University of Oxford, where she completed her dissertation on the obstacles surrounding the integration of Roma children into the Hungarian education system. She recently completed a Publications internship at MRG, which broadened her understanding of minority issues throughout the world.

Nurçan Kaya (*Turkey*) is a lawyer specializing in international human rights law, in particular anti-discrimination law and protection of minority rights. Currently she works as Cyprus/Turkey

Coordinator at MRG and Director of Turkey Fund at Global Dialogue.

Mark Lattimer (*Peoples under Threat*) is the Executive Director of Minority Rights Group. Formerly he worked with Amnesty International. Recent publications include (as editor) *Genocide and Human Rights* (Ashgate 2007).

Corinne Lennox (*Minority and indigenous women and the MDGs*) is a Lecturer in Human Rights at the Institute of Commonwealth Studies, University of London. Her research focuses on minority and indigenous rights protection and on human rights and development. She has worked as a human rights practitioner and consultant, including for MRG, UNDP and the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights.

Irwin Loy (*East and South East Asia*) is a multimedia journalist and editor based in Phnom Penh, Cambodia, where he focuses on human rights and development issues. He has filed news and feature reports from around the South East Asia region for international media.

Morna Macleod (*Co-author - Women and armed conflict: from victims to activists*), with an MA and doctorate in Latin American Studies, is an independent consultant and invited researcher at the Centre for Research and Higher Studies in Social Anthropology (CIESAS) in Mexico City. She worked for Oxfam for almost a decade and has worked in human rights, aid and research on Guatemala since 1983.

Gay McDougall (*Foreword*) was appointed in July 2005 by the High Commissioner for Human Rights as the first UNs Independent Expert on Minority Issues. A human rights lawyer, Gay McDougall was Executive Director of the US based international NGO Global Rights from 1994 through 2006. As Special Rapporteur on the issue of systematic rape and sexual slavery practices in armed conflict, she presented a groundbreaking study calling for the international legal standards for the prosecution of such acts.

Bobbie Mellor (*Co-author – Somalia*) was a Publications Intern at MRG in 2010/11. A recent

graduate of French and Dutch at University College London, her research interests include constitutional challenges to linguistic rights and the development of regional language legislation in France. She also works with the Routes into Languages scheme to promote foreign language teaching in the UK curriculum.

George Mukundi Wachira (*East and Horn of Africa*) is a Member of a Panel of Legal Experts to the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights Working Group of Indigenous Populations/Communities in Africa, and the Africa Regional Coordinator for the Transitional Justice Programme, Centre for the Study of Violence and Reconciliation, South Africa.

Matthew Naumann (*Central Asia*) is a freelance researcher and writer with seven years of experience on human rights, social development and humanitarian issues in Central Asia, including periods with the UN and International Crisis Group. He holds degrees in Development Studies (BA), International Human Rights Law (LLM), and Politics and Security in Central Asia (MA).

Marusca Perazzi (*China and Mongolia*) is Programmes Coordinator at MRG. Her areas of specialization are Chinese language and culture, international relations and global governance. She works in Africa and Asia and has lived and worked with ethnic minority communities in northwest China.

Claire Rowland (*Co-author – Violence against women in indigenous, minority and migrant groups*) has been working with local and international NGOs in Asia and the Pacific for over seven years in a variety of roles. Increasingly interested in research, and passionate about women's activism and gender equality, Claire has recently been involved in two multi-year research projects exploring the gendered outcomes in water and sanitation initiatives in the Pacific and the gendered impacts of economic development projects in Melanesia.

Kathryn Ramsay (*Why focus on minority and indigenous women?*) is Gender Coordinator at MRG, managing programmes supporting minority women in Asia and Africa to work on a range of human rights issues. She holds an MA in the

Theory and Practice of Human Rights from the University of Essex.

Tanya Saroj Bakhru (*Reproductive rights: a long way to go*) holds a PhD in Women's Studies from University College Dublin, Ireland (2007). She is currently an Assistant Professor of Women's Studies in the Department of Interdisciplinary Social Science at San Jose State University, California.

Preti Taneja (*Middle East and North Africa*) is a writer and film maker whose previous work for MRG includes (as editor) two editions of *State of the World's Minorities and Indigenous Peoples*, and (as writer/ co writer) *Assimilation, Exodus, Eradication: Iraq's Minority Communities since 2003* (2007) and *Uncertain Refuge Dangerous Return: Iraq's Uprooted Minorities* (2009). As a documentary maker with ERA Films, she directs and produces campaign films for local charities and international NGOs.

Paige Wilhite Jennings (*Central and West Africa*) has worked with inter-governmental and non-governmental organisations in Central Africa, Central and South America and the Caribbean.

Jacqui Zalberg (*Oceania*) is an Australian human rights lawyer who has worked on a range of international human rights cases in a variety of international and domestic forums, focusing on the rights of indigenous peoples. This has included working for the UN OHCHR Indigenous Peoples and Minority Unit, and the US based NGO EarthRights International. She currently works as a legal adviser to the United Nations Special Rapporteur on Indigenous Peoples, as well as teaching and coordinating the Human Rights Law Clinic at the Law Faculty of the Humboldt University, Berlin.

Acknowledgements

With thanks to Carl Soderbergh for considerable editorial input, Kristen Harrison for production coordination, Publications interns Anna Horvai, Charlie Hoyle and Bobbie Mellor for research and admin support, MRG gender experts Kathryn Ramsay and Claire Thomas for advice and comments on the thematic chapters, Sophie Richmond for copy editing and Tom Carpenter for design.

In addition to the MRG staff who provided their feedback on earlier drafts, we would like to thank and acknowledge the following individuals who have contributed their thoughts, comments, advice and expertise to this edition of *State of the World's Minorities and Indigenous Peoples*:

Daniel Alberman, Alan Barnard, Gretchen Bauer, Gwendolyn Beetham, Bruce Bennett, Treva Braun, Sandra Brunnegger, Rowan Callick, Kamala Chandrakirana, Nicholas Cheesman, June Dreyer, Amani El Jack, Yakın Ertürk, William Fierman, Judith Gardam, Geoff Gilbert, Laura Hammond, Rita Manchanda, Fiona McCallum, Eugina McGill, Roberta Medda, Erik Moberand, Ian Neary, Francisco Panizza, Vijayan Pillai, Scott Radnitz, Richard Rathbone, Mervat Rishmawi, Claudia Seymour, Chayanika Shah, Declan Walsh.

Minority Rights Group International

Minority Rights Group International (MRG) is a non-governmental organization (NGO) working to secure the rights of ethnic, religious and linguistic minorities and indigenous peoples worldwide, and to promote cooperation and understanding between communities. Our activities are focused on international advocacy, training, publishing and outreach. We are guided by the needs expressed by our worldwide partner network of organizations which represent minority and indigenous peoples. MRG works with over 150 organizations in nearly 50 countries. Our governing Council, which meets twice a year, has members from 9 different countries. MRG has consultative status with the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), and observer status with the African Commission on Human and People's Rights. MRG is registered as a charity and a company limited by guarantee under English law. Registered charity no. 282305, limited company no. 1544957.

Discover us online:

MRG website

Visit our main website for news, publications and more information about MRG's work:
<http://www.minorityrights.org>

Minority Voices Newsroom

An online news portal that allows minority and indigenous communities to upload multimedia content and share their stories:
<http://www.minorityvoices.org/>

World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples

The internet's leading information resource on minorities around the globe:
www.minorityrights.org/Directory